UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,840	07/10/2003	Jong-Woo Kim	053785-5018-02	8882
,	7590 03/17/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		T.	CHUNG, DAVID Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/615,840	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID Y. CHUNG	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.					
		0 0.0. 2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
of the state of th	olocion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	<del>-</del> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No. <u>09/885,527</u> .			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<u> </u>			
application from the International Bureau	•	<b>o</b>			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10 July 2003</u> .	6)  Other:	. 4. h 20			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 1. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276).

As to claim 1, Park et al. discloses a method for manufacturing a thin film transistor array for a liquid crystal display and a photolithography method for fabricating thin films. Park et al. discloses the following steps: forming gate lines, gate electrodes, and gate pads on a substrate; depositing a gate insulating layer, semiconductor layer, ohmic contact layer, and conductor layer; patterning the conductor layer and ohmic contact layer to form data lines, source and data electrodes, and data pads; depositing a passivation layer; coating a photoresist on the passivation layer; exposing the photoresist to light through one or more masks having different transmittance between

the display area and peripheral area to form a photoresist pattern having different thickness depending on the position. See abstract. Figure 11 shows a mask with light shielding portions, light transmissive portions and semi-transmissive portions. Figure 12A shows a photoresist pattern with varying thickness depending on position. Figure 19 shows the active matrix substrate after patterning the passivation layer, active layer and insulating layer and after forming the pixel electrode. Figure 5 shows a cross section of the storage capacitor. Note the first capacitor electrode 22 and the second capacitor electrode 68. Figure 12A shows the photoresist pattern PR comprising region A exposed to light through the light shielding portion of the mask, region B exposed to light through the light transmissive portions of the mask, and region C exposed to light through the semi-transmissive portions of the mask.

Page 3

As to claim 2, figure 18 shows the gate lines arranged perpendicular to the data lines to form a matrix pattern with the source electrode spaced apart from drain electrode.

As to claim 3, Park et al. discloses depositing and patterning a first conductive layer to form gate wiring by dry or wet etch using a first photolithography step as shown in figures 6A to 6C. See column 10, lines 13 – 18.

As to claims 4 and 5, Park et al. discloses depositing a gate insulator layer, semiconductor layer, and second conductive layer and then patterning the second

conductive layer to form data wiring and storage capacitor electrodes by a second photolithography step as shown in figures 7A and 7B. See column 10, lines 19 – 34.

As to claim 6, Park et al. discloses patterning the passivation layer, semiconductor layer, and gate insulator to form contact holes 71, 72, and 73 by a third photolithography step as shown in figures 8A, 13A and 13B. For this purpose, a photoresist pattern is formed to have thickness that varies depending on the location. See column 10, lines 35 - 53.

As to claim 8, Park et al. teaches that it is preferable for the thin portions of the photoresist pattern to have a thickness in the range of 350 to 10,000 angstroms. See column 12, lines 21 - 23.

As to claim 9, Park et al. teaches that the gate wiring may have a multi-layered structure, in which case it is preferably made of one material having low resistivity and another material having good contact with other materials. Double layers of Cr/Al (or Al alloy) and Al/Mo are examples given by Park et al. See column 8, lines 31 – 38.

As to claims 10 and 11, Park et al. teaches that both the gate insulator 30 and passivation layer 70 can be made of inorganic material such as silicon nitride. See column 8, lines 39 – 40 and column 9, lines 45 – 50.

Art Unit: 2871

As to claims 12 and 13, Park et al. teaches that the passivation layer can be made of insulating material such as acrylic organic material. See column 9, lines 45 – 50.

As to claims 15 and 16, Park et al. discloses in figure 11, a mask having pattern layer 520. This layer is the same as the opaque pattern layer 320 shown in figure 9A. This layer is made of such material as chromium. See column 11, lines 5 – 10 and 30 – 40.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276) in further view of Kim (U.S. 6,255,130) and Park et al. (U.S. 6,287,899).

Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276) discloses data contact holes 73 and gate contact holes 72 formed in passivation layer 70. See figures 3 and 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,840

Art Unit: 2871

Although Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276) does not disclose a contact hole formed over storage capacitor electrode 68, Kim discloses a similar device with contact holes connecting the storage capacitor electrode to the pixel electrode. Note contact holes 74 in figures 1 and 2. It was well known and obvious that this would increase the storage capacitance and lead to better aperture ratio. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to connect the storage capacitor to the pixel electrode via contact holes in the passivation layer in order to improve aperture ratio.

Page 6

Although Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276) does not disclose exposing both a side portion and upper surface of the drain electrode, it was well known and obvious to do this in order to increase the contact area and form better electrical connection between the pixel electrode and drain electrode as shown in figure 15 of Park et al. (U.S. 6,287,889). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to expose both a side portion and upper surface of the drain electrode in order to form better electrical contact with the pixel electrode.

3. Claim 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276) in further view of Park et al. (U.S. 6,287,899).

Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276) does not disclose making the width of the passivation layer smaller than the width of the data line. However, Park et al. (U.S. 6,287,899) discloses a redundant data line overlapping and connecting to the primary data line through a contact hole. See figure 4. It was well known and obvious that making the

Application/Control Number: 10/615,840 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

width of the passivation layer smaller than the width of the data line caused the side portions of the data line to be exposed. It was well known and obvious that exposing side portions of the data line increased contact area and improved the electrical connection to the data line. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the width of the passivation layer smaller than the width of the data line in order to improve electrical connection between the primary data line and a redundant data line.

4. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (U.S. 6,335,276).

Park et al. does not disclose molybdenum silicide material for the transmittance control layer 550 in figure 11. However, molybdenum silicide was a common and conventional semi-transmissive material used in photomasks. It was well known and obvious for transmitting a suitably small percentage of light for most photolithography applications. This allowed the difference between light and dark areas on the photoresist to be accentuated properly. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the transmittance control layer in the mask of Park et al. using molybdenum silicide in order to properly accentuate the difference between light and dark areas on the photoresist layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,840 Page 8

Art Unit: 2871

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Y. Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-2288. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. If successive attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor David C. Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787.

/David Y. Chung/

Examiner, Art Unit 2871

/David Nelms/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871